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ABSTRACT

Background: In today's economic world, an effective compliance doctrine is a mandatory component of the management portfolio of any reputable business structure. Currently, compliance strategy is being implemented in all countries of the globalised world, but in different ways: in some countries, actively and comprehensively, and in others, passively and fragmentedly. This study, using analytical and statistical methodological approaches, explains why compliance is implemented differently in businesses around the world. The authors evaluate the effectiveness of the leading types of compliance (anti-corruption, criminal law, environmental, financial, and labour) in the economies of OECD countries and other countries. The authors also substantiate that to strengthen the political will of governments, especially those of developing countries, to extend compliance into the national business environment, it is necessary to develop a national strategic document on the phased implementation of the compliance system in business processes of enterprises, as well as to develop an international document of general application (in the form of a UN Convention) to promote more active implementation of all types of compliance by governments around the world.

Methods: The methodological apparatus of legal and economic sciences was used to study the compliance doctrine. The methodological apparatus of the study included mathematical calculation methods and graphical methods for assessing the degree of compliance implementation in countries of the world, probabilistic methods for providing recommendations for management actions and testing for clustering countries of the world. The study also uses special legal methods: formal legal methods for classifying the main features of the compliance phenomenon, comparative legal methods for comparing compliance regulation in different countries, and logical legal methods for improving legal regulation of compliance as a means of the economic well-being of enterprises.
Results and Conclusions: The article reveals the content of business process compliance; assesses the effectiveness of this instrument in the economies of OECD member states, the EU and other countries in priority areas; develops proposals for regulation, including legislative regulation and implementation of compliance at the international, national and enterprise levels in the context of digitalisation and sustainable development.

1 INTRODUCTION

In today's economic world, an effective compliance doctrine is a mandatory component of the management portfolio of any respectable business structure.

The doctrine of compliance stipulates that this tool is introduced into the business processes of an enterprise as a set of preventive measures aimed at monitoring potentially dangerous factors (external and internal) and trends that may harm the economic security of the enterprise.

The extent to which this tool can cover elements of the company's management system is up to its top management. The key factor is that top management should be aware of the benefits of compliance and have the will to make virtuous changes by developing appropriate compliance policies and documents at the private law level of business process regulation and inspire all staff to implement and use this tool. It has long been a postulate in pedagogy that behaviour, not words, is the first to be copied. If words are at odds with behaviour, the behaviour is perceived as a role model. Therefore, if management promotes compliance in good faith through its actions, then, according to the law of fractality, staff will also do so.

With the development of economic relations in a globalised and information society, various risks (corruption, sanctions, tax, environmental, etc.) have been growing in enterprises’ business processes. These risks are caused by external and internal factors. External factors include:

1) insufficiently fair competitive business environment in the current climate,
2) the impact of the crime situation on the business landscape, which is being improved over time by changing the methods and means of offences,
3) instability and volatility of international financial relations, which significantly affect the business landscape through the application of sanctions,
4) growing uncertainty (demand volatility) in the business environment at the national level under the influence of globalisation of the world economy, which reduces the financial capacity to implement the compliance doctrine at enterprises,
5) low level of awareness of small and medium-sized enterprises about the benefits of implementing compliance in business processes.

---

Internal factors that contribute to strengthening management control include the following:

1) corporate and labour conflicts,
2) insufficiently good business reputation of the enterprise and its management in the business environment,
3) increased economic losses of the company due to the dynamism and unpredictability of changes in tax and sanctions legislation,
4) unprofessionalism or low level of professional training of compliance staff,
5) insufficient level of legal culture of the company's top managers.

The key trends that can harm the economic security of an enterprise are currently associated with increased globalisation and aggressive enforcement of existing rules at both the international and national levels.

The global reach of business process regulation has created new challenges generated by relocating businesses abroad. Focusing on the headquarters and a few hotspots that are the nuclei of economic activity for a large organisation (e.g., a multinational company) is no longer sufficient. Enforcement requires vigilance throughout the organisation. It also means that organisations now face potentially conflicting regulatory schemes when moving from country to country and must be prepared to adjust their compliance programme to respond to these differences.2

Regulation problems, including legal regulation of business processes and control over them, are currently one of the key trends for enterprises, which can reduce efficiency. Organisations must manage existing and emerging risks, including anti-competitive behaviour, industry regulation, international trade and economic sanctions, bribery and corruption, and data protection.3

Considering that the main purpose of compliance is to safeguard the economic interests of an enterprise from factors that may adversely affect its financial components and reputation,4 the role of compliance is expected to become increasingly important in the risk management of business structures in developed countries. Moreover, in developing countries, this tool will likely actively spread, further strengthening its already significant role. The need to substantiate the growing role of compliance in countries around the world is one of the main objectives of this economic and legal study.

---

3 ibid, Eastwood.
The purpose of this study is to highlight the essence of business process compliance and assess the effectiveness of this tool in the economies of OECD member states, the EU and others in priority areas. Employing a synergistic approach characterised by a combination of methodological achievements of legal and economic sciences, the study seeks to develop proposals for regulation, including legal regulation, of compliance implementation at the international, national and enterprise levels in the context of digitalisation and sustainable development.

The issue of implementing compliance into business processes is the subject of study by practitioners and scholars of many sciences, but primarily economic and legal ones. Thus, modern scholars and specialists have studied the theoretical and methodological foundations of the compliance doctrine, substantiation of methodological approaches and practical recommendations for ensuring compliance security of an industrial enterprise.5

The authors describe the legal framework and analyse the content of the definitions of compliance available in the specialised literature.6 They investigate the essence of its main types - anti-corruption compliance,7 tax compliance,8 labour compliance9 and others. Additionally, they clarify the role of security-oriented management tools in ensuring the economic security of an enterprise10 and propose a methodology for assessing the effectiveness of compliance implementation at an individual enterprise.11 However, without detracting from the value of these scientific works, there has not yet been a comprehensive study of compliance as a means of increasing the efficiency of enterprises in countries that are important players in the global economy, have membership in the OECD, EU, etc., using the methodology of economic and legal sciences. At present, further research and scientific substantiation of criminal law issues, environmental and labour functions of compliance, and compliance monitoring are needed.

1.1. Legal Aspects

The study of the introduction of compliance into the business processes of an enterprise in the legal discourse is relevant in various areas.

Firstly, given that the compliance doctrine is constantly being improved and its theoretical provisions are being developed and reflected in legal acts, it is important to identify and study the modern system of categories in compliance at the legislative level. After all, it is now fully possible to state that compliance has been institutionalised in international standards, in the legislation of many countries, at the level of an individual enterprise whose business processes comply with the principles of compliance and integrity. The lexical and semantic field of compliance used in legislative techniques today includes the following concepts: ‘compliance management’, ‘compliance risk’, ‘compliance policies’, ‘integrity’, ‘compliance officer’, ‘compliance department’, etc. The study of this issue is important for the universalisation of the system of categories in compliance as a multidimensional and multifunctional phenomenon in the future.

Secondly, in the context of economic globalisation, the convergence of legal regulation of implementation of various types of compliance into business processes of enterprises in many countries of the world, which are parties to numerous bilateral and multilateral agreements in the economic and financial spheres in the international arena, is difficult. Given that many of these countries are members of various respected international institutions that produce international compliance standards, the problems of this convergence are even more acute. The lack of research on this issue in the legal discourse also directs the authors of this study to identify some of the main problems and find ways to solve them in compliance implementation in enterprises' business processes.

Thirdly, the issue of giving preference to a particular type of compliance (anti-corruption, financial, criminal, labour, environmental, etc.) at the national legislative level and the enterprise level is debatable. This issue is particularly acute for enterprises in developing countries, as compliance is not a cheap management tool.

Fourthly, according to the authors of the study, it is now important to assess the effectiveness of the application of the most leading types of compliance (anti-corruption, criminal law, environmental, financial, labour) in the economies of OECD member states and other countries, using a synergistic approach characterised by a combination of methodological achievements of legal and economic sciences. This author’s approach may be controversial. However, the development of high-quality content of regulatory legal acts in the field of compliance must have an economic empirical basis. Therefore, the need for this study seems reasonable.

1.2. The Growing Role of Compliance in Countries Around the World

In the modern world, compliance strategies are implemented in all globalised countries, but in different ways. In some countries, it is active and comprehensive, while in others, it is passive and fragmented. In this study, it is important to use analytical and statistical methodological approaches to find out why compliance is implemented in different ways in enterprises around the world.
In terms of meaning and etymology, compliance (derived from the English word compliance – agreement, conformity) is derived from the verb to comply – ‘to comply, to obey’.12

The economic and legal phenomenon of compliance first emerged in the 1930s, when the Agency of the US Department of Health and Human Services began to function. The Agency began to carry out legal compliance regulation, developing rules that entrepreneurs (employers) in the pharmaceutical and food industries and their staff, especially top management, had to follow. After massive corruption scandals involving managers of American companies and government officials in the 60s and 70s of the twentieth century, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act was adopted in 1977. This document established strict rules for controlling the anti-corruption behaviour of the staff of US employers both within the US and abroad. It introduced strict requirements for accounting and financial documentation. In other words, the legislator has made compliance more structured and systematic. Under this law, anti-corruption compliance extended to the employment relations with government officials and the relations between them and managers of companies that lobbied for certain business interests. Subsequently, the corruption scandals of the 1980s directed the development of anti-corruption compliance not only to comply with the mandatory provisions of anti-corruption legislation but also with ethical business rules.13 Compliance as a tool for security-oriented enterprise management has gradually been implemented in all sectors of the economy and in various areas of business processes of enterprises, where new positions of compliance officers (compliance managers) were introduced, or separate compliance departments were created as independent structural units.

Currently, professional associations of compliance officers (compliance managers) are already operating in many countries. One of the largest of them, the SCCE & HCCA (Society for Corporate Compliance and Ethics & Healthcare Compliance Association), which operates in the United States, already has 19,000 members worldwide as of the end of 2022. The SCCE & HCCA exists to advocate for ethical practice and compliance standards and to provide the necessary training, publications, certification and other resources for ethics and compliance professionals.14

Another international association of professionals in this field is the International Compliance Association (ICA), a company established in the UK in 2001. It is represented in more than 50 jurisdictions, has regional offices in Dubai and Singapore, and has more than 10,000 members who exchange information and experience on the ICA’s website.15

Such organisations are created not only in developed countries. The Ukrainian Network of Integrity and Compliance (UNIC), established in Ukraine in 2017 at the initiative of Ukrainian business, is a community of practitioners in various areas of compliance and ESG to share global challenges, knowledge, and tools to address new problems with unfair business practices. The Business Ombudsman Council of Ukraine, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, and the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development supported the initiative to create UNIC in Ukraine. The purpose of the UNIC community is to promote compliance and business integrity and, accordingly, improve the business environment in Ukraine.16

There is a problem with the official recognition of the compliance officer profession in countries around the world. As a rule, this profession is officially recognised in developed countries such as the United States, Canada, etc. and is among the most prestigious. This is because the compliance officer is perceived as a courageous and incorruptible defender of the business, endowed with the ability to make balanced and strong-willed decisions, with organisational design skills and the ability to develop his or her people.17

In developing countries, the profession of ‘compliance officer’ is not included in the nomenclature of professions, and HR departments are forced to use the names of professions that are directly related to the internal/external control of the organisation’s activities, the development of corporate standards or are characterised by certain labour functions inherent in the compliance system. In Ukraine, for example, the following professions replace the title ‘compliance officer’: manager (administrator) of administrative activities (CP code 1475.4); corporate governance professional (CP code 2413.2); corporate governance specialist (CP code 3411).18

In developing countries, compliance remains a relatively new concept for businesses. Introducing a compliance function allows participants in all business segments to operate more efficiently. It contributes to an atmosphere of trust and transparency both in the domestic market and on the global stage. Incorporating compliance measures into daily operational processes will help to minimise risks and introduce the right business culture in accordance with both national and international legislation.19

To help businesses worldwide, the International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO) has developed ISO 37301:2021 ‘Compliance management system - Requirements with guidance for use’. Implementing such a standard in an enterprise is a responsible and expensive undertaking. Therefore, the introduction of an effective compliance system is primarily
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carried out by large businesses (corporations, banking financial groups, holdings, etc.) with an extensive corporate structure and many divisions and employees worldwide. Small and medium-sized businesses with an effective compliance control system are also significantly protected in the economic and legal sphere. However, this is unacceptable to everyone, as compliance is not a cheap tool. To help small and medium-sized businesses implement it, it is necessary to provide interested entrepreneurs with the opportunity to master this tool on favourable terms through business incubators. It is also necessary to assist such businessmen in calculating the cost of implementing compliance in the most problematic areas of business processes and, importantly, to standardise this tool based on ISO 37301:2021 'Compliance Management System - Requirements with Guidelines for Use'.

G. Shaw, Chairman of the Technical Committee of the International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO), rightly notes that ‘compliance is not only about avoiding fines and should not be limited to one department. It is everyone’s business. Organisations want to work with companies they can trust. And trust is based on a corporate culture of doing the right thing, where every employee contributes because they understand the importance of doing so and believe in its importance. The key to this is good leadership and clear values that must come from the top’.

Thus, there is already an international standard based on which compliance can be implemented in the business processes of an enterprise in any country. It should be noted that governments, especially those of developing countries, do not always have the political will to extend this tool to the national business environment to develop a strategic document on the phased implementation of the compliance system, especially at state-owned enterprises engaged in foreign economic activity and contributing to the creation of an internationally respected image of their country in the world.

1.3. Theoretical Framework

The theoretical foundations for forming and developing the modern compliance doctrine are diverse and multifunctional. Such principles include the concept of sustainable development, the most promising ideology of the 21st century, supported by all world leaders of the UN member states at the Millennium Summit in New York in September 2000 and the UN Summit on Sustainable Development in September 2015. Global Sustainable Development Goals aimed at ultimately ensuring the balanced development of civilisation through a careful attitude to natural resources and the internalisation of external environmental influences by taking into account the economic reporting of enterprises (environmental...
component), through responsible consumption and production, decent work and economic growth, in particular, at the enterprise level, which is achieved through moderate management, one of the means of which is compliance management (economic component), through the fair distribution of goods, including through the payment of fair decent wages to employees at the enterprise level (social component).

In the context of the transformation of post-industrial society into information and the increased digitalisation of business processes, the theory of financial policy of the enterprise is of great importance. This theory is based on the balance between the transparency of financial reporting and the information security of the enterprise.\textsuperscript{23} When implementing compliance and developing compliance policies (the ideological component), a modern enterprise must be based on the principles of both sustainable development and decent work.

Developing compliance policies at any enterprise is based on Lindenberg’s Goal-Setting Theory (GFT), which ensures the formation of multi-purpose benefits.\textsuperscript{24} This theory allows us to formulate new compliance goals and change the traditional ones from time to time, which allows this tool to constantly improve and respond to new challenges and threats to economic relations in the business environment in a timely manner.

Since the doctrine of compliance is centred on the theory of risk, which is implemented for enterprises through a few risk management mechanisms,\textsuperscript{25} it should be noted that this doctrine is closely linked to the theory of economic security,\textsuperscript{26} in which the risk theory is also the core. Ensuring the economic security of enterprises as competitive entities involves implementing a certain aspect of management aimed at the formation, development and realisation of their competitive advantages.\textsuperscript{27} The development of competitive advantages is the most important condition for an enterprise to get super profit and strengthen its economic security.\textsuperscript{28} In addition, the specialised literature notes that compliance as a set of
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preventive measures aimed at monitoring potentially negative factors and trends that can cause economic damage to an enterprise is currently considered a promising direction for developing economic security theory.29

Management theory, which considers an organisation as a system in the unity of its parts and links with its external environment, has an equally important influence on the formation of the compliance doctrine30 and the theory of fractality, according to which any subsystem also has the characteristic properties of the system.31 These theories allow the business environment to form an understanding that there is only one enterprise management system, into which standards-based tools are integrated to improve it, such as compliance, implemented based on ISO 37301:2021, ‘Compliance Management System - Requirements with Guidelines for Use’. Also, these theories contribute to maintaining axiological values in the enterprise that are important for compliance (integrity, openness, transparency, social responsibility, and zero tolerance for corruption). If senior management strongly supports compliance policies based on such axiological principles, then the same positive attitude will be towards these policies and the entire staff.

Important theoretical provisions are currently formed in the compliance doctrine itself. Specialist literature notes three structural (organisational) models of compliance management existing in practice.32 This literature substantiates the importance and necessity of introducing an independent compliance unit into the organisational structure of an industrial enterprise, the functioning and management of which is carried out based on a centralised, decentralised, or combined structure.33

The first model of compliance is a centralised structure, the specificity of which is that one compliance officer is responsible for the entire compliance function, regardless of its scope.34 In such circumstances, compliance controllers report to business unit managers or directly to the head of the compliance function. In this case, the head of the compliance service is responsible for the effectiveness of the organisation and operation of the compliance system, the fulfilment of its tasks and powers, and the implementation of compliance policies. The head of the compliance function may be a separate structural and personnel unit or may combine this position with another, for example, the head of the legal or accounting department.

33 Kobielieva (n 5) 313.
34 Okunev, Boyko and Lukin (n 1) 50.
The second model of compliance is a decentralised structure, which is characterised by the fact that the head of the compliance service is responsible for one or more components of the system, for example, only anti-corruption compliance issues (for him/her, compliance is an additional function). Labour law compliance is handled by the HR department, tax compliance is handled by the finance or accounting department, antitrust and corporate governance compliance is handled by the legal department, etc. Each of the department heads is responsible for risk assessment, preparation and implementation of policies and procedures, and staff training.

The third model of compliance is a combined structure based on a combination of positive features and qualities of centralised and decentralised structures. Under this structure, the chief compliance officer is responsible for general management, organising training for management and staff, organising interaction with all company units on compliance issues, monitoring compliance, organising and participating in internal investigations, and communicating with external partners on compliance issues. Each department head is responsible for risk assessment, preparation and implementation of policies and procedures in their respective priority areas.

Often, more profitable and experienced companies prefer a combined structure. After all, it can combine the positive and diverse characteristics and qualities of both centralised and decentralised structures, which in turn allows to minimise the disadvantages inherent in the organisation of compliance at enterprises to a negligible level.

Specialist literature also suggests that there is no ready-made compliance model. Each company should create a model that meets its needs and risks. The form of such a compliance group should reflect both the risks faced by the company and its internal business and organisational structure.

The compliance doctrine identifies the stages of implementation of compliance in the business processes of the enterprise.

In the first stage, an external compliance audit of the company's processes and internal and external documentation is conducted by independent compliance specialists. Next, in the second stage, a compliance officer is appointed and their work is coordinated, or alternatively, the functions of compliance may be delegated to the legal department. Following this, the third stage involves the implementation of tools to eliminate/minimise identified risks, the development/improvement of internal policies, acts and procedures, amendments to corporate and labour documents, adjustments to contracts, and the

35 ibid 51.
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conducting of training (both internal and external - for the company's counterparties). After that, the fourth step entails implementing a system for assessing and managing compliance risks through the documents mentioned above, job responsibilities and control mechanisms. In the fifth stage, the focus shifts to systematically training existing and new employees, as well as key counterparties, to comply with the rules set out in compliance policies, considering the specifics of their functions. In the sixth stage, the effectiveness of internal compliance documents is regularly monitored, with periodic reviews and updates (at least once a year) to accommodate changes in legislation, law enforcement practices of state authorities, and the emergence of new risks.

In the specialised scientific literature, compliance theory defines many different types of compliance. This variety depends on the specifics of the business processes in which the tool is used. Compliance may be aimed at 1) prevention of money laundering; 2) counteraction to financial support of terrorism; 3) security of banking activities; 4) protection of intellectual property and innovation activities of the enterprise; 5) preservation of natural and production environment; 6) ensuring antitrust policy of the enterprise; 7) ensuring stability and efficiency of the insurance, securities market, listing.39

Based on the purpose of implementing compliance in business processes, the following special types of compliance can be identified (Tab. 1).40

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-corruption</td>
<td>employees' compliance with anti-corruption rules and regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimonopoly</td>
<td>prevention of collusion between market participants, state bodies, unfair competition, procurement violations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank</td>
<td>compliance with the requirements of financial monitoring, anti-corruption and currency legislation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological</td>
<td>compliance by the enterprise with the requirements of environmental legislation, reduction of environmental and legal risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informative</td>
<td>ensuring proper protection of personal data, cyber security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal and law</td>
<td>analysis of the company’s business processes from the point of view of signs of theft, crime prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>preventing problems during tax audits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanctioned</td>
<td>preventing connections with counterparties that are under sanctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>prevention of violations of labour legislation by the company and employees, work with personnel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


40 Compiled by the authors on the basis of Mozharovskyi (n 39); ‘Types of Compliance and when it may be Needed’ (YouControl Blog, 9 November 2020) <https://youcontrol.com.ua/articles/compliance_guide/> accessed 15 October 2023.
This typology of compliance is sufficient to identify priority areas, but it should be noted that the typology of compliance is constantly being improved. Currently, there is a need to study another type of compliance - sales compliance.

Business processes and the business environment are constantly changing; some types of compliance are becoming more popular, while others are already playing a secondary role.

1.4. Overview of Compliance Legislation and Regulations

International acts, extraterritorial legislation (legislative acts of general action) and regulatory acts are the basis that mediates organisational, legal and methodological requirements for the implementation and use of compliance in business processes of enterprises.

1. International acts from influential global institutions regulating compliance issues include the following:

1) The UN Convention against Corruption of October 31 2003, which for the first time obliged UN Member States to establish criminal liability in their national legislation for the following acts: bribery of national and foreign public officials and officials of intergovernmental organisations; theft, misappropriation or other misuse of property by a public official; abuse of influence (pressure, promise of favour, etc.); abuse of office; illicit enrichment of a public official; bribery in private.41

2) The UN Declaration on Combating Corruption and Bribery in International Business Transactions of December 16 1996, the provisions of which are aimed at promoting the social responsibility of private and public corporations, including transnational corporations, and individuals engaged in international business transactions. The declaration emphasises the application of appropriate ethical standards, in particular by complying with the laws and regulations of the countries in which they conduct their business operations and taking into account the economic and social consequences of their activities. To this end, the Declaration states that UN Member States, both individually and through international and regional organisations, will take measures consistent with the constitution and fundamental legal principles of each State and relevant national laws. These measures are intended to be effective and concrete in combating all forms of corruption, bribery and other corrupt practices in international commercial transactions.42

3) The OECD Conventions are important conventional mechanisms for combating bribery of foreign public officials in international business transactions and combating base erosion and profit shifting within the OECD. The main document in this group is the OECD Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions of December 17, 1997, according to which each OECD Member State will take all necessary measures to establish that, under national law, the knowing offer, promise or giving, directly or indirectly, of any material, pecuniary or other advantage by any person or entity to or for the benefit of a foreign public official is illegal. This group also undoubtedly includes the Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters of January 25, 1988, as amended by the Protocol of May 27, 2010, and the Multilateral Convention for the Implementation of Tax Treaty-Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting of November 24, 2016. The provisions of these documents were adopted to establish an effective taxation mechanism in the OECD member states and other signatory states.

4) The Council of Europe Criminal Law Convention on Corruption ETS No. 173 of January 27, 1999 is an important anti-corruption standard primarily for European countries. The document's provisions oblige the member states of the Council of Europe and other signatories to the Convention to implement a common criminal policy aimed at protecting society from corruption, including the adoption of relevant regulations and preventive measures, as a matter of urgency.

2. Extraterritorial legislation includes several important laws that, although adopted at the national level in some highly developed countries, have a significant impact on other countries whose businesses are closely interconnected and develop based on compliance.

It is well known that the first law to provide for criminal liability for corruption offences abroad was the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA), which was enacted in the United States in 1977 to prevent and deter bribery of foreign government officials, increase transparency in financial reporting, and create a competitive environment for companies operating abroad. The FCPA considers corruption offences committed by publicly traded

---

companies to be particularly dangerous, as such actions undermine the stability of the US financial system.\textsuperscript{48}

Another significant law in the field of corruption prevention is the UK Bribery Act, which came into force on July 1 2011.\textsuperscript{49} Given that this law was adopted much later than the FCPA and taking into account the experience of FCPA enforcement, its differences from the FCPA are quite understandable and predictable: 1) it has similar objectives but stricter provisions; 2) it has a broader interpretation of violations; 3) it provides for liability not only for bribery of government officials but also for commercial bribery.\textsuperscript{50}

Another important piece of legislation is the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, passed on July 30 2002, which set new or improved standards for all US public company boards, management and audit firms.\textsuperscript{51}

It is also important to note the French legislative framework (group of laws) on anti-corruption SAPIN II, adopted on October 10 2016.\textsuperscript{52} The main provisions of the SAPIN II Law provide for the mandatory implementation of an anti-corruption compliance programme for French companies of a certain size. Companies may be fined for non-compliance. In addition, SAPIN II Law introduced a criminal settlement procedure, expanded the extraterritorial application of French criminal law in matters of international corruption and strengthened the protection of whistleblower status.

3. Regulatory acts include:


2) The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), adopted on August 21 1996 to modernise the flow of health information and to provide for how personal information held by healthcare providers and health insurance industries should be protected from fraud and theft.\textsuperscript{54}


\textsuperscript{50} Okunev, Boyko and Lukin (n 1) 21.


3) standards and codes of practice, such as the 1996 Guide to Supply Chain Operations (SCOR),\textsuperscript{55} an inter-industry standard and diagnostic tool in supply chain management of the Supply-Chain Council (a global non-profit consortium), ISO 9000:2015 ‘Quality management systems - Fundamentals and vocabulary’, that describes the fundamental concepts and principles of quality management that can be universally applied to organisations seeking sustainable success through the implementation of a quality management system,\textsuperscript{56}

4) contracts with business partners,

5) corporate (internal) rules of local action (e.g., ethical standards of behaviour that are established and relevant to a particular area of activity).

A very important and widely discussed event was the adoption and entry into force of ISO 37301:2021 ‘Compliance Management System - Requirements with guidance for use’,\textsuperscript{57} which established a single international standard for anti-corruption compliance in business. On the one hand, the document is often criticised, noting that the conditions of different businesses in different countries are so different that any standard in this area is currently incorrect. On the other hand, for companies established and operating in transition economies, such a standard is an excellent guide for developing and implementing their own anti-corruption programme, a “hint” both in terms of its structure and the content of certain measures to be implemented.\textsuperscript{58}

Such compliance with legal norms and requirements of legislation, standards and ethical norms (codes of conduct) may relate, in particular, to combating corporate fraud and corruption (anti-corruption compliance), antitrust regulation (antitrust compliance), personal data protection (information compliance), labour relations (labour compliance), etc.

2 METHODOLOGY

The information base of the study includes statistical data from analytical agencies, factual data from monographic and periodical literature, reports of international organisations, in particular the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), regulatory documents, legislative acts that form the regulatory framework for compliance implementation in countries around the world, etc.

Due to the general scientific synergistic approach to the study of compliance, the work combines the methodological achievements of economic and legal sciences.


\textsuperscript{57} ISO 37301:2021 (n 20).

\textsuperscript{58} Okunev, Boyko and Lukin (n 1) 21.
The methodological apparatus of the study includes such methods as methods of mathematical calculations and graphical methods for assessing the degree of compliance implementation in countries around the world; probabilistic methods for providing recommendations for management actions and testing for clustering countries around the world.

The study uses special legal methods: formal legal methods for classifying the main features of the compliance phenomenon, comparative legal methods for comparing compliance regulations in different countries, and logical and legal methods for improving legal regulation of compliance as a means of the economic well-being of enterprises.

3 AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE USE OF COMPLIANCE IN THE COUNTRIES OF THE WORLD

The authors of the article grouped countries of the world to summarise the patterns and main trends in the use of compliance in different countries using cluster analysis tools.

Cluster analysis allows us to substantiate the trends in the development of compliance in countries of the world based on multidimensional data. The study proposes the following hypothesis: since any model determines certain rules for the development of business processes of enterprises and predicts trends in the development of the national economy, the application of clustering results will help enterprises in making management decisions on the selection of strategic partners and mitigating threats to economic security.

Countries are divided into clusters based on data generated from official statistics, recognised international ratings and indices.

The objects of classification for the cluster analysis of the effectiveness of compliance were the OECD countries, China and Ukraine.

The author’s research methodology is based on a variety of types of compliance and their corresponding global indices: Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI)\(^59\) for anti-corruption compliance, Global Organized Crime Index (GOCI)\(^60\) for criminal law compliance, Environmental subindex Legatum Prosperity Index (EsLPI)\(^61\) for environmental compliance,


Basel Anti-Money Laundering Index (Basel AML Index)\textsuperscript{62} for financial compliance, Social Progress Index (SPI)\textsuperscript{63} for labour compliance.

### 3.1. Anti-Corruption Compliance

CPI was chosen by the authors of the article to compare countries in terms of the effectiveness of anti-corruption compliance.\textsuperscript{64} The CPI measures perceived corruption in the public sector in 180 countries and territories and is based on surveys of experts and businesspeople. The CPI is based on 13 independent data sources and uses a scale from 0 to 100 points, where 0 points mean a high level of corruption and 100 points mean zero tolerance for corruption.

Despite the joint efforts and numerous achievements, the CPI in 2022 reflects the enormous scale of corruption in countries around the world. For eleven years in a row, the global average has remained unchanged at 43 out of 100 points. More than two-thirds of countries (68\%) had CPI scores below 50. The lion’s share of countries (90\%) had a stable CPI level.

A study of the correlation between CPI and GDP per capita for OECD countries, China, and Ukraine revealed a significant relationship between these indicators according to Chaddock’s scale (the coefficient of determination was 0.5327) (Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1. Paired regression of the dependence of the level of economic development on the CPI of OECD countries, China and Ukraine, 2022](image-url)

\[ y = 0.1614x^2 + 1041.3x - 14205 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.5327 \]


\textsuperscript{64} Transparency International (n 59).
Fig. 1: Countries with strong institutions and a well-functioning democracy top the ranking. However, it should be noted that the CPI for 2022 showed that efforts to fight corruption as a priority area of anti-corruption programmes are not effective enough, which did not contribute to the improvement of the CPI in more than half of the countries.

In 2022, Denmark topped the CPI ranking with a score of 90. It is followed by Finland and New Zealand with 87 points. The high CPI scores in these countries are due to successful anti-corruption practices, a key element of anti-corruption compliance. Ukraine (33 points) and Mexico (31 points) had the worst scores in 2022 among the analysed countries, which placed them at the bottom of the ranking. The reason for this is the underdeveloped culture of anti-corruption compliance in these countries.

Fig. 1 clearly shows that countries are divided into three groups. The first and second groups consist of highly developed countries. The average group level of the CPI was 80.5 points for Ireland and Norway, which were in the first group. The second group includes the largest number of countries studied - 29, 78.4% of the total analytical data set. The average level of the CPI for the second group of countries was 72.8 points. The average level of GDP per capita in the second group was more than twice as low as in the first group, amounting to USD 5,530. The third group includes six developing countries, including Ukraine. The average CPI for the third group of countries was 37.6 points, almost half as much as for the second group. A similar trend can be observed for the average GDP per capita. In the third group, GDP per capita averaged USD 25855, more than twice as low as the corresponding indicator for the second group of countries.

3.2. Criminal and Law Compliance

In the context of the study, the authors propose using the GOCI as a basis for substantiating the effectiveness of countries’ use of criminal law compliance. The GOCI is a multidimensional tool that assesses the level of crime and resilience to organised crime in three key pillars - criminal markets, criminal actors and resilience to organised crime. The GOCI uses a scale from 0 to 10 points, where 0 points represent the highest level of resilience to organised crime and 10 points represent the lowest level of resilience.

A study of the correlation between GOCI and GDP per capita for OECD countries, China and Ukraine, revealed a weak relationship between these indicators (the coefficient of determination was 0.2156) (Fig. 2).

65 ibid. Built by the authors based on the CPI in 2022.
66 Global Initiative (60).
67 ibid. Built by the authors based on the GOCI in 2022.
Fig. 2. Paired regression of the dependence of the level of economic development on the GOCI of OECD countries, China and Ukraine, 2022

Fig. 2 also shows that countries are divided into three groups:

- the first group (Ireland and Norway) with an average GOCI score of 4.5 points;
- the second group of countries (30 OECD countries) - 4.7 points;
- the third group (less developed OECD countries, China and Ukraine) - 7.0 points.

The data obtained suggests that the more efficiently the country's enterprises use the tools of criminal legal compliance, the higher the level of economic security and the level of economic well-being of the country.

3.3. Environmental Compliance

The effectiveness of using environmental compliance tools was substantiated with the help of EsLPI.68 The EsLPI measures the environmental aspects of the environment and the level of environmental protection. The EsLPI uses a scale from 0 to 100 points, where 0 points means the lowest level of use of environmental compliance instruments, and 100 points means the highest level of use.69

A study of the correlation between EsLPI and GDP per capita for OECD countries, China, and Ukraine revealed a weak relationship between these indicators (the coefficient of determination was 0.2807) (Fig. 3).70

---

68 Legatum Institute (n 61).
70 Built by the authors based on the EsLPI in 2022: Legatum Institute (n 61).
Fig. 3 also shows that countries are divided into three groups according to the level of efficiency of environmental compliance. The countries of the first group, Ireland and Norway, obtained an average EsLPI score of 71 points. The 29 OECD countries in the second group received 69.8 points. The six countries that formed the third group received 54.2 points.

The grouping results indicate that countries with high economic prosperity use environmental compliance instruments more effectively.

3.4. Financial Compliance

Basel AML Index is used to substantiate the effectiveness of countries’ use of financial compliance instruments. The Basel AML Index is a generalised composite indicator determined by the Basel Institute on Governance to identify and assess the risks of corruption in the financial sector, the involvement of a country in money laundering, and the financing of terrorism. It is measured on a scale from 0 to 10 points, where 0 points indicate minimal financial risks and 10 points are the maximum value of these risks. The Basel AML Index rating is based on five sub-indices: the quality of the anti-money laundering and counter-terrorist financing system (65%), corruption and bribery risks (10%), financial transparency and standards (10%), public transparency and accountability (5%), and political and legal risks (10%).
A study of the correlation between the Basel AML Index and GDP per capita in OECD countries, China and Ukraine, revealed a weak relationship between these indicators (the coefficient of determination was 0.2026) (Fig. 4).72

By visualising the pairwise regression of the dependence of a country's economic development level on the Basel AML Index (Fig. 4), the authors have identified three groups of countries. The first group traditionally includes two of the most developed countries (Ireland and Norway), with an average Basel AML Index score of 3.75 points in the group. The second group includes 30 OECD countries with an average Basel AML Index score of 3.91 points. The third group was formed by countries whose financial systems are most vulnerable to the risks of money laundering, terrorist financing and related crimes (China, Turkey, Mexico, Ukraine and Colombia). The average Basel AML Index score for the third group was 5.37 points.

Thus, more effective use of financial compliance tools ensures the minimisation and elimination of the risk of corruption and the country's involvement in money laundering and terrorist financing.

3.5. Labour Compliance

The Social Progress Index (SPI) has been used to substantiate the effectiveness of implementing labour compliance elements by countries around the world.73 The SPI is a

---

72 ibid. Built by the authors based on the Basel AML Index in 2022.
73 Social Progress Imperative (n 63).
multifunctional tool for measuring a country’s social performance across 60 indicators. The SPI uses a scale from 0 to 100 points, where 0 points mean a low level of labour compliance efficiency, and 100 points mean a high level of application of this tool.

The study of the correlation between the SPI and the level of GDP per capita in the countries under study revealed a moderate relationship between these indicators (the coefficient of determination was 0.4722) (Fig. 5).74

Fig. 5 clearly shows that the countries are divided into three groups. The first and second groups consist of highly developed countries. In particular, the first group includes two countries (Norway and Ireland) with an average SPI score of 89.2 points. Norway became the leader in the SPI in 2022 with a score of 90.7 points. The second group includes 30 of the 37 countries surveyed, with an average SPI score of 86.1 points. The third group includes five developing countries, including Ukraine. Ukraine is the leader among the countries in the third group, scoring 74.17 points. The average SPI level for the third group of countries was 69.4 points.

All the above makes it possible to state the importance and leading role of labour compliance in ensuring the economic security of enterprises through the fair and responsible application of compliance policies by staff and management.

74 ibid. Built by the authors based on the SPI in 2022.
3.6. Clustering of the Countries of the World

The clustering of countries by the degree of use of compliance types in the business processes of enterprises was carried out in the Statistica software with the construction of a dendrogram using the Ward method (Fig. 6). The developed dendrogram allows us to identify and summarise countries in which the degree of efficiency of using compliance tools in all priority areas is similar. This allows business structures to identify safer strategic partners and countries to implement and develop compliance policies to ensure economic security.

The dendrogram (Fig. 6) and Tab. 2 show that countries belong to a certain cluster, which allowed us to identify these clusters as:

1) countries with a high level of compliance effectiveness, which include 18 highly developed countries that actively implement compliance at all levels of economic entities and in all priority areas,
2) countries with an average level of compliance effectiveness, which include 14 countries where compliance is implemented in a fragmented manner (for some types of compliance),
3) countries with a low level of compliance effectiveness, including 5 countries where compliance is at the initial stage of implementation.

**Fig. 6. Dendogram of countries by key predictors into clusters, 2022**

75 Built by the authors in Statistica based on the CPI, GOCI, EsLPI, Basel AML Index, SPI in 2022: Transparency International (n 59); Global Initiative (60); Legatum Institute (n 61); Basel Institute on Governance (n 62); Social Progress Imperative (n 63).
Identifying country clusters allows for a deeper understanding of the specifics of the use of compliance tools in countries with different levels of compliance culture. It also identifies challenging and promising areas for the development of compliance for different classification groups of countries and becomes the basis for compliance programmes, etc.

The cluster analysis conducted only for the OECD countries, China and Ukraine cannot claim to be complete, but it should be recognised as satisfactory, especially given the fact that countries with quite different economic development characteristics were selected, which can be considered the basis for clusters joined by other countries of the world. The hierarchical cluster formation procedure ensures that such extended clusters will be formed automatically around the clusters already built around the most representative countries.

Tab. 2 presents statistical metrics (Euclidean distances between the resulting clusters and average values) for each of the studied predicates of the formed clusters.76

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names of clusters</th>
<th>countries with a high level of efficiency in the application of compliance</th>
<th>countries with an average level of efficiency in the application of compliance</th>
<th>countries with a low level of efficiency in the application of compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of countries in the cluster</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries</td>
<td>Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Ireland, Iceland, Germany, Netherlands, Norway, Finland, France, Switzerland, Sweden, Great Britain, USA, Japan</td>
<td>Greece, Israel, Spain, Italy, Korea, Lithuania, Latvia, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Hungary, Czech Republic, Chile</td>
<td>China, Colombia, Mexico, Turkey, Ukraine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics of the cluster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>77,94</td>
<td>6,28</td>
<td>39,47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOCI</td>
<td>4,51</td>
<td>0,82</td>
<td>0,68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EsLPI</td>
<td>70,77</td>
<td>4,18</td>
<td>17,47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basel AML Index</td>
<td>3,81</td>
<td>0,50</td>
<td>0,25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI</td>
<td>88,33</td>
<td>1,70</td>
<td>2,88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

76 Compiled and calculated by the authors in Statistica 12 based on the CPI, GOCI, EsLPI, Basel AML Index, SPI in 2022: Transparency International (n 59); Global Initiative (60); Legatum Institute (n 61); Basel Institute on Governance (n 62); Social Progress Imperative (n 63).
Tab. 2 shows that the clusters differ most in terms of the CPI prediction, followed by the EsLPI and that the average for the SPI is insignificantly different.

Thus, the clustering of the OECD countries, China and Ukraine, allowed us to identify common features in the effectiveness of compliance tools in the following priority areas: anti-corruption, criminal law, environmental, financial and labour compliance. Clustering is only the first step in strengthening the economic security of countries. Identification of common features in the use of compliance in different countries is the basis for forming a set of mechanisms to improve compliance programmes and accelerate the economic development of these countries.

4 VALIDITY OF THE RESULTS OF THE STUDY ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF COMPLIANCE IMPLEMENTATION IN EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

According to the results of the cluster analysis (Table 2), almost all of the Eastern European countries under study, unfortunately, belong only to the cluster of «countries with an average level of compliance effectiveness» (the second cluster). This is because they are OECD and EU countries with economies in transition. However, due to the active implementation of international standards of compliance control in the business processes of companies, the Euclidean distances between the countries under study and those with a high level of compliance efficiency (the first cluster) are rapidly decreasing.

Fig. 6 shows that Eastern European countries such as Poland, the Czech Republic and Slovakia formed a subcluster in the second cluster by statistical metrics, which indicates common features in the use of compliance tools, which is not always highly effective in the context of economic security. This encourages these countries to develop reform programmes with the same focus on implementing universal supranational compliance practices.

Ukraine is one of the Eastern European countries. The dendrogram (Fig. 3) and (Tab. 2) show that Ukraine belongs to the cluster of «countries with a low level of compliance efficiency». This is because almost the entire period of independent Ukraine’s existence has been characterised by permanent reforms of both the public administration with its insufficiently effective judicial system and the systems of state healthcare, education, etc. and the economic sector, which suffers from shadowing and oligarchisation. The convergence of Ukrainian legislation with EU legislation, OECD international instruments, and regulations of other developed partner countries such as the United States and the United Kingdom, including on compliance issues, is also too slow.

The Russian aggression has become a catalyst for large-scale operational risk for most companies, which includes, in particular: 1) business continuity risk (losses associated with material damage, losses associated with intangible assets, personnel-related losses, lost income (profit) or lost profits, losses associated with the loss of investment opportunities);
2) the risk of interaction with third parties, jeopardising contracts, delivery times, and cybersecurity of companies. If realised, this risk may result in both loss of services/goods and default; 3) cyber risk has become even more relevant in times of war due to ideological motives, making it more difficult to track the target of an attack; 4) the risk of financial crime due to export controls and bans, and the scrutiny of regulators. This risk is particularly relevant to the financial sector. The risk of corporate fraud in companies also remains relevant, as in any crisis, some people will want to take advantage of the situation and make money. Companies should continue to review their processes, such as those related to charitable contributions, financial assistance, procurement, etc.  

As the situation in Ukraine is changing very rapidly amid the armed aggression of the Russian invaders, compliance must be as flexible and responsive as possible to help businesses cope with threats in times of turbulence. The areas on which the compliance function should focus will depend on the business area. However, the above risks should be considered by all companies regardless of industry, with more attention paid to anti-corruption, financial, criminal, labour and environmental compliance.

Ukraine needs to develop a National Programme on State Support for the Implementation of Compliance by Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises for 2024-2029 and adopt it as a law. This programme should include state measures to introduce compliance in small and medium-sized businesses in the above priority areas.

The process of Ukraine’s accession to the OECD is currently important for overcoming corruption and establishing sustainable and secure economic relations with the member states of this well-known global organisation. To do so, Ukraine needs to ratify the 1997 OECD Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions.

The author’s approach to studying the effectiveness of the implementation of compliance tools using mathematical calculations and probabilistic and graphical methods allowed us to diagnose the countries of Eastern Europe and determine their belonging to certain clusters by the level of compliance implementation effectiveness. This allows these countries to develop compliance policies aimed at ensuring economic security.

---


5 CONCLUSIONS

All the above leads to the following conclusions.

The transnationalisation of global economic relations necessitates introducing modern tools in enterprises that minimise legal, economic and reputational risks and promote the establishment of sustainable and fair business relations. Among these tools, compliance is currently the most effective and influential.

The study highlights the essence and purpose of business process compliance and its growing role. It also identifies external and internal factors and trends that can harm the economic security of an enterprise.

Based on the synergistic approach, the author establishes that the definition of ‘compliance’ is inconsistent with conceptual and categorical apparatuses of economic and legal sciences. The legal and economic nature of this category is not clearly defined and has not been studied by scholars. In jurisprudence, this concept tends to be related to the conceptual apparatus of labour, civil, commercial, corporate, criminal, administrative, and environmental law, which confirms its multidimensional and multifunctional nature in legal discourse. In the economy, compliance has also enriched the categorical apparatus of many sciences and is actively used as an effective means of implementing measures to ensure the economic security of any socio-economic systems (enterprises, industries, regions, countries, etc.), and contributes to strengthening the economic goodwill of any country and its business structures that understand its value and share the principles of integrity.

The authors evaluate the effectiveness of applying the leading types of compliance (anti-corruption, criminal law, environmental, financial, and labour) in the economies of OECD and other countries.

As a result of the empirical study of the pairwise correlation of the indices corresponding to the leading types of compliance and GDP per capita, it was proved that the level of GDP per capita in the entire analytical array of countries was significantly influenced by the Corruption Perceptions Index (the coefficient of determination was 0.5327), moderate influence - Social Progress Index (coefficient of determination - 0.4722), weak influence - Environmental subindex Legatum Prosperity Index (coefficient of determination - 0.2807), weak influence - Global Organised Crime Index (coefficient of determination - 0.2156), weak influence - Basel AML Index (coefficient of determination - 0.2026).

Based on the cluster analysis of OECD countries, China and Ukraine, three clusters of countries were identified by the effectiveness of compliance. Countries with a high level of compliance effectiveness, including Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Iceland, Japan, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, the United States and the United States, formed the first cluster. These countries focus their policies on actively using compliance tools at all levels, in all priority areas, and on developing and implementing joint compliance programmes. The second cluster includes the following countries: Chile, Czech Republic,
Greece, Hungary, Israel, Italy, Korea, the Baltic States, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Spain and Hungary, which use compliance tools in a fragmented manner. The third cluster was formed by countries with a low level of compliance effectiveness, which includes the following countries: China, Colombia, Mexico, Turkey, and Ukraine, where compliance is still in its infancy.

It is substantiated that to strengthen the political will of governments, especially in developing countries, to extend compliance into the national business environment, to develop a national strategic document on the phased implementation of the compliance system in business processes of enterprises, an international instrument of general action (in the form of a UN Convention) should be developed, which will facilitate more active implementation of all types of compliance by governments.

Considering the limited financial resources of small and medium-sized businesses, especially in developing countries, it is proposed that governments provide small and medium-sized businesses with the opportunity to master compliance through business incubators on favourable terms, based on ISO 37301:2021 ‘Compliance Management System - Requirements with Guidelines for Use’.

It is recommended that the profession of ‘compliance officer’ (compliance manager) be in the classification of professions at the national level. This profession directly relates to the internal/external control of the organisation’s activities, allocating certain labour functions and competencies inherent in the compliance system.

It is proposed that Ukraine develop a National Programme to Support the Implementation of Compliance by Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises for 2024-2029 and adopt it as a law. This programme should include state measures aimed at implementing compliance in small and medium-sized businesses in the above priority areas.

The process of Ukraine’s accession to the OECD is currently important for overcoming corruption and establishing sustainable, secure economic relations with the member states of this well-known global organisation. For this purpose, Ukraine needs to ratify the 1997 OECD Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions.
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